



09/683,941

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY FIRST CLASS MAIL

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date set forth below.

Terri G. Fox
(signature)
Date of signature and deposit - June 24, 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of:	Syun Kyung Lee)	Group Art Unit 3611
)	
Serial No.	09/683,941)	Examiner: Lum, Lee S.
)	
Filed:	March 5, 2002)	Attorney Docket 201-0148
)	(16372)
For:	FOUR WHEEL DRIVE ASSEMBLY AND A)	
	METHOD FOR UTILIZING THE SAME)	

June 24, 2004**Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents**

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Sir:

In response to the Office Communication mailed May 26, 2004, enclosed for filing in the application identified above is a new Appeal Brief in triplicate. The Appeal Brief enclosed herewith is a substitute for the Appeal Brief filed on January 7, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

Frank G. McKenzie

Frank G. McKenzie, Reg. No. 29,242
(734) 542-0900

MacMillan, Sobanski & Todd, LLC
One Maritime Plaza, Fourth Floor
720 Water Street
Toledo, Ohio 43604





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of: Syun Kyung Lee)	Group Art Unit 3611
)	
Serial No. 09/683,941)	Examiner: Lum, Lee S.
)	
Filed: March 5, 2002)	Attorney Docket 201-0148
)	(16372)
For: FOUR WHEEL DRIVE ASSEMBLY AND A)	
METHOD FOR UTILIZING THE SAME)	

June 24, 2004

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPEAL BRIEF

Sir:

This is an appeal from a final rejection of the application, dated September 5, 2003.

REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

Ford Global Technologies, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Ford Motor Company, a Delaware Corporation, is the real party in interest.

RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no other related appeals or interferences known to appellant, the appellant's legal representative or assignee that will affect, be affected by, or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

RECEIVED
JUN 01 2004
GROUP 3600

STATUS OF CLAIMS

The claims involved in this appeal are claims 1-8. Each of these claims was rejected a second time by the Examiner in the Office action of September 5, 2003, a final rejection.

Claims 18-20 have been cancelled.

SUMMARY OF INVENTION

The invention comprises a four-wheel drive assembly for a vehicle 12 having a front pair of wheels 18, 18', supported on front axle shafts 14, 15; and a rear pair of wheels 19, 19', supported on rear axle shafts 16, 17. The vehicle 12 includes an accelerator pedal 55, and a power source, an internal combustion engine 20 controlled by an engine throttle 53. A torque transfer assembly 60 adjustably distributes to the front and rear wheels the torque, which is produced by the engine 20 and is transmitted to the input 70 of the assembly 60. A front driveshaft 34 and rear driveshaft 36 connect the front and rear wheels, respectively, to the torque transfer assembly 60. A controller 62 receives signals representing the speed of the front and rear wheels, the extent to which the accelerator pedal 55 is depressed, and the position of the engine throttle plate 53. The controller 62 produces an output that causes the magnitude of torque transmitted to the wheels to change on the basis of control logic executed by the controller. A purpose of the control is to reapportion the magnitudes of torque transmitted to the wheels in order to prevent the wheels from slipping, to reduce the likelihood of wheel slippage, or to correct for wheel slippage.

Figure 2 is a flowchart of the control logic 100. At step 104, the controller 62 enters a slip detection and control mode. At step 106, the controller 62 determines whether wheel slip is occurring by comparing the current speed of the driveshafts 34, 36, or the first pair of axles 14, 15 and second pair of axles 16, 17, or the first pair of wheels 18, 20 and second pair of wheels 22, 24. If any of these the speed differences exceeds a predetermined reference or threshold speed difference, then a slip condition exists. At

step 108, slip control corrective action is taken by increasing the magnitude of torque transmitted by the torque transfer assembly 60 to the slower pair of wheels.

At step 109, controller 62 determines whether the preemptive slip control mode flag is currently set to a non-zero value or a logically true state, or whether it is necessary to enter the preemptive slip control mode, as determined by criteria described in the application. A criterion for this determination is whether the speed difference is equal to or greater than 2.0 km/hr. If preemptive slip control mode is required or the flag is set, the controller 62 enters a preemptive slip control mode 110, and the preemptive slip control flag is set.

At step 112, the controller 62 uses the speed of the wheel pairs, the position of the accelerator member 55, and/or the throttle plate 53 to determine whether wheel slip is likely to occur. For example, if vehicle speed is low (about 20 miles per hour) and the position of the accelerator pedal 55 or the throttle plate 53 is greater than about half-way toward their respective fully applied or maximum torque request position, the controller 62 concludes that slip is likely to occur. Then at step 114, the controller again reallocates torque to the wheel pairs by increasing torque to the slower of the wheel pairs. Control passes then to step 115 where the speed difference of the wheel pairs is compared to a reference speed difference. If the actual speed difference is greater than the reference, slip is determined to exist, and the controller returns to step 108 where torque is again increased to the slower wheel pair. But if slip is absent when tested at step 115, control passes from step 115 to step 112 to determine again whether wheel slip is likely to occur. This process continues until step 112 becomes logically false, indicating that slip is unlikely to occur. Thereafter, at step 116, several criteria are used to decide whether to exit the preemptive mode. If so, the control returns to step 106; if not, control returns to step 112.

ISSUES

Claims 1-8 and 10-17 stand rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention. In Claims 1-8 the term “preemptive mode” is considered unclear by the Examiner because it is not described in the claims. The rejection of Claims 1-8 is an issue in this appeal.

Claims 1-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Showalter (U.S. Patent 5,704,444). It is an issue in this appeal whether the rejection of Claims 1-8 is proper under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

GROUPING OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-8 are drawn to a four wheel drive assembly for a vehicle. Each of the claims is patentable separately, as will be explained in the Argument section of this Appeal Brief.

ARGUMENT

Rejection of Claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph

Claims 1-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to describe “preemptive mode” in these claims. The preemptive mode of operation is described in the specification at paragraph [0031] through paragraph [0034].

The preemptive mode is entered at step 110 after determining whether step 109 sets a flag that alerts the controller to execute step 110. After beginning the preemptive mode of operation, at step 112 the controller refers to vehicle speed (represented by axle speed or driveshaft speed) and to engine output (represented by the position of the engine throttle plate 53 or the position of the accelerator pedal 55) to determine whether the vehicle wheels are likely to slip. For example, if the vehicle speed is slow and a current demand for engine output is high, the wheels are likely to slip.

If wheel slip is likely to occur, at step 114, torque to the forward and rear wheels is changed so that torque is increased to the slower wheels. If the check at 112 shows that wheel slip is unlikely, then the preemptive mode is terminated at step 116, provided certain conditions are satisfied.

After torque is increased to the slower wheels, a check is made at step 115 to determine whether the wheels are currently slipping. If the wheels are slipping, torque is again increased to the slower wheels at 108, and a check is made at 109 to determine whether to remain in the preemptive mode. If, at step 115, the wheels are not slipping, the check of step 112 is repeated to determine whether the wheels are likely to slip as discussed above.

The specification clearly discloses this “preemptive mode.” Claims 1-8 clearly refer to this preemptive mode and satisfy the requirements of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Claims 1-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Showalter (U. S. Patent 5,704,444). Claim 1 says that the torque transfer assembly increases torque to a slower pair of wheels when a sensed slip condition occurs. This is in its Figure 6, steps 314 and 318, at which two slip conditions are tested to determine whether they exceed a predetermined threshold. If both conditions are met, at step 322 torque is increased through clutch 150 to 100 percent of its torque transmitting capacity. This step 322 causes full engagement of clutch 150 and transmits torque to both the front wheels and rear wheels, without regard to the relative speed of the wheel pairs. When the Showalter’s system detects slip, it transmits approximately 50 percent of the total torque to each of the front wheels and rear wheels. Showalter does not disclose increasing

torque to the slower wheels upon sensing a slip condition, as the claims define the present invention.

The Examiner concludes that by connecting both the front and rear wheels to the input torque, Showalter's system increases torque to the slower wheel pair. But Showalter merely locks the transfer case clutch, reducing torque to the rear wheels and increasing torque to the front wheels. The Showalter system disregards the relative speed of the wheel pairs for purposes of reallocating torque after detecting slip. The Showalter system may decrease, rather than increase torque, to the slower of the wheel pairs. It is a purpose of the present invention to reduce wheel slip by increasing torque to the slower wheels because the slower speed indicates that the slower wheels are better able to maintain traction contact on a road surface than the faster wheels.

There is no teaching in the cited references of a preemptive control mode that occurs after detecting slip and increasing torque to the slower wheels. Claim 1 recites a preemptive mode in which the torque transfer assembly increases torque to a slower pair of wheels after determining that a slip condition is likely to occur, on the basis of vehicle speed and the position of one of an accelerator member and an engine throttle plate.

Neither Showalter nor any other cited reference teaches increasing the magnitude of torque to the slower wheel pair after detecting a slip condition. Neither Showalter nor any other cited reference teaches a preemptive mode. The claims should not be rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Showalter.

Claim 2 is distinguished also from the prior art because it adds to Claim 1 a condition for terminating the preemptive mode. Claim 3 limits the condition of claim 2 to a period of thirty seconds. Claim 4 references a further limitation on the preemptive

mode. Claims 5-8 add additional limitations on the preemptive mode set forth in Claim 1. None of these limitations is present in the cited references. For these reasons, Claims 2-8 are independently patentable, both mutually and from Claims 1, 9 and 11-17.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "F. McKenzie".

Frank G. McKenzie, Reg. No. 29,242
(734) 542-0900

MacMillan, Sobanski & Todd, LLC
One Maritime Plaza, Fourth Floor
720 Water Street
Toledo, Ohio 43604

APPENDIX

1. A four wheel drive assembly for a vehicle having two pairs of wheels comprising a torque transfer assembly which receives torque and which has a first mode of operation in which said torque transfer assembly selectively increases torque to a slower pair of wheels upon a sensed slip condition, and which assembly further has a preemptive mode of operation which occurs only after the first mode of operation has occurred.
2. The four wheel drive assembly of Claim 1 wherein said preemptive mode terminates after a certain period of time has elapsed without an occurrence of a sensed slip condition.
3. The four wheel drive assembly of Claim 2 wherein said certain period of time comprises about thirty seconds.
4. The four wheel drive assembly of Claim 3 wherein said preemptive mode again occurs upon a sensed occurrence of a slip condition after said certain period of time.
5. The four wheel drive assembly of Claim 1 wherein said preemptive mode terminates upon an attainment of a certain vehicular speed and an attainment of a certain value for a predetermined attribute.
6. The four wheel drive assembly of Claim 5 wherein said certain vehicular speed comprises a speed of about twenty-five kilometers per hour.
7. The four wheel drive assembly of Claim 6 wherein said predetermined attribute comprises a difference in a speed of a first axle and a speed of a second axle.

8. The four wheel drive assembly of Claim 7 wherein said certain value comprises about two kilometers per hour.